Lackland Independent School District

Stacey Junior/Senior High

2020-2021 Campus Improvement Plan



LACKLAND

Independent School District

Mission Statement

Lackland ISD empowers students to construct successful futures.

Vision

The premier leader in educational excellence.

Core Beliefs

We believe in creating and facilitating opportunities to expand and utilize essential skills for all.

We believe that all students deserve equal access to individual opportunities.

We believe everyone benefits when they question to understand "why" ideas are relevant.

We believe education is a shared partnership.

We believe in effective communication in a respectful environment.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	7
School Processes & Programs	12
Priority Problem Statements	15
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	16
Goals	17
Goal 1: We will create a transparent environment in which the teacher is an active facilitator and the 21st-century framework is paramount. (SP G1)	18
Goal 2: We will incorporate a variety of authentic assessments that are meaningful for the student while demonstrating mastery. (SP G2)	20
Goal 3: We will measure accountability through continuous growth and mastery of goals for individual students and student groups. (SP G3)	21
Goal 4: We will only foster a climate that adds value. (SP G4)	26
Goal 5: We will provide a clear and attainable framework of learning that is engaging, flexible and relevant that utilizes a full range of student capabilities. (SP G5)	28
Goal 6: We will grow interconnected partnerships within the extended community. (SP G6)	31
Goal 7: We will capitalize on the innate digital abilities of our students. (SP G7)	33
Goal 8: We will comply with state and federal requirements.	36
State Compensatory	38
Budget for Stacey Junior/Senior High	39
Personnel for Stacey Junior/Senior High	39
Title I Personnel	40
Campus Funding Summary	41
Addendums	42

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Student	AA	Н	W	Amer.	Asian	Pacific	2+	Eco. Dis	ELL	At-Risk	GT	CTE	SPED
Demographics / Year				Indian		Islander							
2020	12.41	24.91	45.99	0	1.87	1.03	13.81	24.16	2.52	21.27	9.79	17.26	11.85
2019	13.85	25.28	44.89	0	1.67	.93	13.38	18.31	1.67	23.42	9.2	16.64	12.82
2018	15.9	25.3	43.1	.1	1.5	1.5	12.6	27.2	1.8	19.9	7.7	17.9	10.7
2017	18.4	22.6	45.6	.3	1.1	1.3	10.8	32.3	1.2	23.4	6.2	17.3	11.9
2016	18.0	21.5	46.6	.3	2.0	1.2	10.3	36.8	.9	25.0	5.9	21.6	11.2
2015	17.0	22.5	44.0	.6	3.0	1.5	11.5	34.4	1.9	23.1	5.6	27.7	10.5
2014	16.4	27.1	41.0	.3	2.9	.9	11.4	35.4	3.4	23.	4.8	17.5	10.3
2013	16.7	25.7	45.4	0	2.4	1.1	8.6	32.1	2.8	23.8	5.4	16.5	9.9

Teacher Demographics

Teacher Demographics / Year	AA	Н	W	Amer. Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	2+	Males	Females	
2020										
2019	10.9	23.9	61.6	0	1.2	0	2.4	14.9	85.1	
2018	10.0	22.1	65.	0	1.2	0	1.2	17.6	82.4	
2017	10.8	19.8	67.0	1.2	0	0	1.2	16.8	83.2	
2016	13.4	16.1	67.9	0	0	1.2	1.3	19.5	80.5	
2015	12.3	16.1	70.2	0	0	0	1.4	17.0	83.0	
2014	10.8	16.6	72.5	0	0	0	0	15.8	78.6	

Student	AA	Н	W	Amer.	Asian	Pacific	2+	Eco. Dis	ELL	At-	Risk	GT	CTE	SPED
Demographics / Year				Indian		Islander								
2013	10.1	18.0	71.9	0	0	0	0	20.8	79.2					
Teacher Experience	Beg.	1-5	6-10	11-20	Over 20	AVG. Exp.	AVG. With District		No Degree	Bachelors	Master	S	Doc.	
2020									0					
2019	4.0	19.9	24.9	33.3	20.2	12.4	6.5		0	61.3		38.7	0	
2018	1.2	17.5	25.3	29.	24.6	13.6	7.9		0	63.4		36.6	0	
2017	1.2	25.8	19.0	27.6	26.4	14.0	8.6		0	62.8		37.2	0	
2016	2.6	22.9	17.5	28.9	28.2	14.6	9.5		0	61.8		38.2	0	
2015	3.9	24.6	9.5	34.8	27.2	14.3	9.3		0	68.0		32.	0	
2014	2.7	23.1	16.6	29.2	28.4	14.0	9.1		0	69.5		30.5	0	
2013	6.3	17.7	22.7	28.7	24.6	13.4	8.3		0	68.4		31.6	0	

	2019	2019	2018	2018	2017	2017
Primary Disability Type	District Count	District Percentage	District Count	District Percentage	District Count	District Percentage
Students with Intellectual Disabilities	29	21.5	26	23.2	31	25.2
Students with Physical Disabilities	36	26.7	34	30.4	34	27.6
Students with Autism	39	28.9	35	31.3	39	31.7
Students with Behavioral Disabilities	**	**	**	**	**	**
Students with NCEC	*	*	*	*	*	*

Drop Out Prevention Data

Class of 2019	State	District	AA	Н	W	AI	A	PI	2 or More	Sp. Ed.	Eco. D	ELL
Graduated												
Received GED												
Continued HS												

Class of 2019	State	District	AA	Н	W	AI	A	I	ΡΙ	2 or More	Sp. Ed.	Eco. D	ELL
Dropped Out													
Class of 2018	State	District	AA	Н	w	AI	A	PI		2 or MORE	Sp. Ed	Eco. D	ELL
Graduated	90	97.5	100	100	100	_		-	*	80	*	100	*
Received GED	.4	.0	0	0	0	-		-	*	0	*	0	*
Continued HS	3.8	2.5	0	0	0	_		-	*	20	*	0	*
Dropped Out	5.7	0	0	0	0	-		-	*	0	*	0	*
Class of 2017	State	District	AA	н	w	AI	A	PI		2 OR MORE	SP. Ed.	Eco. D	ELL
Graduated	89.7	97.3	100	100	93.3	_		*	-	100	100	83.3	-
Received GED	.4	0	0	0	0	-		*	-	0	0	0	-
Continued HS	4.	0	0	0	0	-		*	-	0	0	0	-
Dropped Out	5.9	2.7	0	0	6.7	-		*	-	0	0	16.7	-
Class of 2016	State	District	AA	Н	w	AI	A	PI		2 or More Races	Sp. Ed.	Eco. D	ELL
Graduated	89.10%	97.20%	100%	100%	93.30%	-	*	*		*	*	100%	_
Received GED	0.50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	*		*	*	0%	-
Continued HS	4.20%	2.80%	0%	0%	6.70%	-	*	*		*	*	0%	-
Dropped Out	6.20%	0.00%	0%	0%	0%	-	*	*		*	*	0%	-
Class of 2015	State	District	AA	Н	W	AI	A	PI		2 or More Races	Sp. Ed.	Eco.D	ELL
Graduated	89.00%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	*	*		100%	100%	100%	100%
Received GED	0.60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	*	*	*		0%	*	*	*
Continued HS	4.10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	*	*	*		0%	*	*	*
Dropped Out	6.30%	0%	0%	0%	0%	*	*	*		0%	*	*	*
Class of 2014	State	District	AA	Н	W	AI	A	PI		2 or More Races	Sp. Ed.	Eco.D	ELL
Graduated	88.30%	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	*	*		100%	100%	100%	100%
			0%		0%	*	*	*		0%	*	*	*
Continued HS	4.30%	0%	0%	0%	0%	*	*	*		0%	*	*	*

Class of 2019	State	District	AA	Н	W	AI	A	PI	2 or More	Sp. Ed.	Eco. D	ELL
Dropped Out	6.60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	*	*	*	0%	*	*	*

Demographics Strengths

Demographic data reflects the following strengths:

- An increase in the percentage of gifted and talented students each year.
- Our ability to reflect a more appropriate economically disadvantaged student population through efforts to get families to complete the free and reduced price meal application.
- The ethnic representation of Hispanic Teachers to Students in becoming more closely aligned.
- The majority of teachers have 11-20 years of experience.
- The district's graduation rate is above 97% and is greater than

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: Less than 40% of Lackland ISD teachers hold a master's degree. **Root Cause:** Few teachers have participated in the district's education incentive program that provides financial support for advanced degrees.

Problem Statement 2: The demographic profile of teachers does not match that of teachers as it relates to ethnicity and gender. **Root Cause:** The need to recruit, hire, and retain qualified teachers that match the demographic profile of the students.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Lackland ISD District Summary TAPR Data - Approaches and Above

Includes Grades 3-8 STAAR, STAAR Accommodated, STAAR L, STAAR-Alternate

STAAR Reading	State	District	AA	Н	W	Asian	Pacific	2+	Sped	Eco Dis
							Islander			
Reading 2019	75	87	80	85	91	70	71	95	55	81
Reading 2018	74	85	80	89	84	*	*	92	*	86
Reading 2017	72	84	78	81	87	100	82	91	48	84
Reading 2016	73	88	78	86	92	100	89	88	59	85
Reading 2015	77	90	79	94	92	100	*	86	76	86
STAAR Writing	State	District	AA	H	W	Asian	Pacific Islander	2+	Sped	Eco Dis
Writing 2019	68	83	77	86	84	*	*	87	59	77
Writing 2018	66	79	79	82	82	*	*	58	40	80
Writing 2017	67	81	67	70	88	*	*	91	53	68
Writing 2016	69	80	69	79	84	*	100	72	55	74
Writing 2015	72	78	80	81	77	*	*	65	*	80
STAAR Math	State	District	AA	H	W	Asian	Pacific Islander	2+	Sped	Eco Dis
Math 2019	82	91	86	92	92	100	71	95	92	85
Math 2018	81	90	86	94	90	*	88	91	86	91
Math 2017	79	90	87	87	91	*	100	93	63	88
Math 2016	76	86	80	80	92	100	71	82	41	84
Math 2015	81	95	*	100	92	*	*	100	*	93
STAAR Science	State	District	AA	H	W	Asian	Pacific Islander	2+	Sped	Eco Dis
Science 2019	81	92	87	89	94	*	*	95	77	80
Science 2018	80	86	75	82	90	*	*	95	73	82

STAAR Reading	State	District	AA	Н	W	Asian	Pacific	2+	Sped	Eco Dis
							Islander			
Science 2017	79	89	84	89	92	*	100	81	57	82
Science 2016	79	82	88	79	83	*	*	73	35	74
Science 2015	78	91	88	83	95	100	*	89	80	84
STAAR Social Studies	State	District	AA	Н	W	Asian	Pacific Islander	2+	Sped	Eco Dis
Soc. St. 2019	81	89	86	100	84	*	*	92	82	94
Soc St 2018	78	69	45	65	79	*	*	75	62	61
Soc St 2017	77	95	89	93	97	-	*	100	90	88
Soc St 2016	77	82	87	71	91	*		63	45	68
Soc St 2015	78	100	100	100	100	*		100	*	100

District Equity Analysis

Student Progress Measures

		2018-19 Distr	ict Progress a	nd 2017			
District Name: LACKLAND ISD (015913)						
County Name: BEXAR	010710)						
	State	Region 20	District	African American	Hispanic	White	Econ Disadv
STAAR Progress Measure Percent	at Expected or Acce	lerated Growth by	Grade and Sub	pject			
Grade 4 ELA/Reading							

			2018-19 Dis	strict Progress	and 2017			
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	55%	53%	51%	45%	50%	52%	40%
•	2018	59%	57%	57%	50%	57%	56%	55%
Grade 4 Mathematics								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	59%	56%	71%	82%	50%	77%	67%
	2018	59%	56%	83%	50%	93%	88%	82%
Grade 5 ELA/Reading								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	77%	77%	85%	86%	87%	84%	70%
1	2018	76%	76%	64%	50%	79%	61%	56%
Grade 5 Mathematics								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	79%	80%	74%	57%	74%	79%	60%
-	2018	76%	79%	57%	60%	64%	54%	67%
Grade 6 ELA/Reading								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	36%	34%	39%	56%	25%	48%	57%
	2018	41%	41%	57%	40%	53%	67%	50%
Grade 6 Mathematics								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	47%	43%	82%	67%	70%	93%	71%
	2018	48%	44%	63%	50%	75%	50%	75%
Grade 7 ELA/Reading								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	73%	72%	63%	45%	50%	79%	33%
-	2018	72%	71%	67%	54%	92%	67%	60%
Grade 7 Mathematics								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	55%	54%	48%	50%	42%	50%	67%
	2018	61%	62%	65%	38%	77%	72%	67%
Grade 8 ELA/Reading								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	72%	72%	67%	67%	38%	73%	67%
	2018	74%	74%	76%	75%	85%	72%	71%

			2018-19 Dis	strict Progress	and 2017			
Grade 8 Mathematics								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	80%	77%	76%	67%	79%	81%	58%
•	2018	76%	75%	89%	92%	91%	83%	85%
End of Course English II								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	60%	59%	54%	80%	36%	64%	*
•	2018	58%	56%	90%	60%	91%	100%	89%
End of Course Algebra I								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	67%	65%	83%	50%	100%	93%	88%
1	2018	62%	60%	76%	*	100%	82%	100%
All Grades Both Subjects								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	63%	62%	66%	62%	59%	72%	60%
	2018	63%	63%	69%	56%	77%	69%	68%
	2017	61	60	63	62	60	66	63
All Grades ELA/Reading								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	62%	61%	60%	60%	51%	64%	53%
•	2018	63%	63%	67%	55%	74%	67%	62%
	2017	59	59	65	66	55	70	68
	2017-Е	59	65	77	95	62	80	84
	2017-S	59	65	58	53	49	64	57
All Grades Mathematics								
At Expected or Accelerated level	2019	64%	62%	73%	64%	67%	81%	67%
	2018	63%	62%	71%	56%	81%	71%	76%
	2017	64	61	62	58	65	62	59
	2017-Е	64	62	85	85	86	82	89
	2017-S	64	62	49	46	52	49	43

Student Learning Strengths

- The district exceeds state achievement rates for all sub-populations for most of the subject areas tested.
- There is evidence of growth in student achievement in all subjects for most sub-populations
- All student populations reflected growth in Social Studies with most experiencing substantial gains.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Equity Analysis: Recognized gap in student progress for and between demographic sub-populations is increasing and inconsistent. **Root Cause:** Greater focus on measures related to student progress for the individual student and collective demographic is needed.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

Instructional

- The elementary campus utilizes grade-level professional learning communities and the secondary campus uses subject level departments to plan for effective instruction. Component meetings are scheduled to ensure quarterly analysis of data, professional learning opportunities, and to determine instructional and behavioral supports required for student success.
- The 85th legislation mandates that students in kindergarten and first grade be screened for dyslexia and related disorders. Kindergarten and first-grade students are currently screened using a state-approved reading instrument at the beginning, middle, and end of the year. Campus leadership has to ensure that teachers administer these inventories with fidelity and are trained to use data to determine indicators of dyslexia and related disorders.
- The performance of students with special needs triggered a safeguard in the area of reading. The overall performance scores dropped for subpopulations in reading.
- Seventh-grade students who did not pass the sixth-grade STAAR in reading must be screened to monitor reading progress.
- The 85th legislation mandates that parents are informed of interventions that are provided to their children. Both campuses will review current Response to Intervention structures to ensure supports are appropriately delivered, monitored, documented and communicated to parents.
- Both campuses have scheduled opportunities for students to receive interventions and acceleration daily.
- Campuses utilize 90-minute instructional blocks with an A/B block schedule at the secondary level to support instructional approaches.

Curricular

- The district has developed curriculum guides for a majority of the subjects being taught. These documents are currently being cataloged and stored for effective online retrieval. Documents will be revised systematically in alignment with Curriculum, Management, and Audit criteria.
- Teachers have access to a variety of curriculum resources (NMSI, Discovery Education, AVID, TEKS Resource System)
- Teachers have opportunities to receive relevant and timely professional development.
- The district is implementing the use of a new Learning Management System.
- Military Family Life Counselors are embedded in specials rotations to increase opportunities for instruction related to counseling.
- Both campuses have added technology courses to support student instruction in their use of technology applications.

Co-curricular

- Both campuses offer afterschool clubs and co-curricular opportunities for student participation.
- Teachers are compensated with stipends for serving as advisors/sponsors for co-curricular activities.
- End of year student performances highlights participation outcomes.

Transitional Supports

• The district utilizes the services of a DoDEA grant funded Military Student Transition Consultant to assist students and families with transition-related needs.

• Fifth-grade students participated in transition activities.

Discipline

- The 85th legislation mandates instructional approaches to proactively address behaviors especially for students in 2nd grade and below.
- An annual analysis of discipline data needs to be established as a routine occurrence to mitigate any existing inequities.
- The district is using a six-step analysis approach to investigate and determine the validity of reports of bullying.

Personnel

- The district continues to sustain the implementation for a Teacher Induction and Mentoring Program. The program is a structured approach to induct new teachers and provide them with effective and trained mentors.
- Teachers complete required training (FERPA, sexual harassment, bloodbourne pathogens, crisis management, bully prevention, Child Internet Protection, etc.) annually using safeschools online training modules.
- Recruitment efforts are enhanced through the ability to post potential openings to increase the applicant pool as vacancies occur.

Organizational

- The district has an organizational chart that outlines the roles and responsibilities of district personnel.
- The cabinet meets regularly at scheduled intervals to communicate program needs and develop appropriate plans for action.
- The district complies with all statutes related to governance and has active campus and district education improvement councils, a technology committee, threat assessment team, and school health advisory council.

Administrative

- The district continues to revise and/ or develop administrative regulations.
- Procedures and practices are in the process of being documented for support organizational continuity.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

Instructional

- Overall student outcomes are significantly above the state average.
- Instructional and technology coaches support curriculum alignment and the implementation of best practices.
- Teachers provide opportunities for students to receive extra support during before and after school tutoring sessions.

Curricular

- Over 85% of teachers are scoring at proficient or above on the T-TESS evaluation dimensions.
- Teachers incorporate a variety of strategies to support student success.

- The secondary campus offers more opportunities for advanced academic learning through pre-advanced placement course offerings.
- Course offerings at both campuses have been expanded to support campus needs. These include pre-advanced placement courses, technology applications, advanced placement courses, CTE culinary arts and robotics courses, and counseling rotations.

Co-Curricular

- Students have a variety of co-curricular opportunities to opt-in for participation and leadership.
- The district supports teacher leadership as sponsors and advisors by providing stipends.

Transitional Supports

- The district has strong partnerships to support student transitions. This includes working with the school liaison officer, the military child education coalition, exceptional family member program, and having a full time military student transition consultant.
- The district supports student -to-student programs at the elementary, junior, and senior high levels.
- The district provides Let's Talk opportunities to support employment, college and career readiness, mental health awareness and increased partnerships.

Discipline

• Discipline concerns overall are minimal and usually involve minor infractions that are a violation of the student code of conduct.

Personnel

- The majority of district staff has experience.
- Overall the district has a highly-effective staff.

Organizational

• The district added a full-time social emotional support coordinator to ensure increased and mandated supports for mental health.

Administrative

- The district keeps its vision, mission, and beliefs at the forefront of all operations.
- The district is making moderate progress with revising administrative regulations and publishing procedures.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Equity Analysis: Recognized gap in student progress for and between demographic sub-populations is increasing and inconsistent.

Root Cause 1: Greater focus on measures related to student progress for the individual student and collective demographic is needed.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

· District goals

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data

Student Data: Assessments

- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- SAT and/or ACT assessment data
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Observation Survey results
- Prekindergarten Self-Assessment Tool

Student Data: Student Groups

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- STEM/STEAM data
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- · Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- · School safety data

Employee Data

- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-PESS data

Goals

Goal 1: We will create a transparent environment in which the teacher is an active facilitator and the 21st-century framework is paramount. (SP G1)

Performance Objective 1: Provide opportunities for professional development/training in 21st Century skills, facilitation, and student engagement by supporting district-wide professional development. (SP G1, SR 1)

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation (PD plans, calendars, attendance reports) of professional development opportunities provided related to 21st-century skills, facilitation, and student engagement. T-TESS results for innovative practices, differentiation, and use of technology.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Develop and implement a comprehensive professional development plan to strengthen approaches to the use of the LMS.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers prove to be more competent in using Canvas.	Jan	May	Sept	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Asst. Superintendent for Curriculum & Instruction Director of Technology Principals	80%	100%	100%	
Strategy 2 Details	Formative Reviews			
Strategy 2: Develop and implement professional development to support teacher proficiency with asynchronous instruction.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teacher ability to engage students and maximize student progress on curriculum activities.	Jan	May	Sept	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Asst. Superintendent for Curriculum & Instruction Director of Technology Principals	80%	100%	100%	
Strategy 3 Details	For	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 3: Evaluate participant feedback to redesign professional development offerings as appropriate.	Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved professional development and student outcomes.	Jan	May	Sept	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Asst. Superintendent for Curriculum and Instruction Director of Technology Principals	50%	75%	100%	

Strategy 4 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 4: Support teacher development in the science of teaching reading through Kindergarten - Third-grade teachers and		Formative	
administrator completion of required reading academies.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student reading performance and 100% completion by 2023.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent Administrators	0%	0%	0%
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue/Modify	inue		

Goal 1: We will create a transparent environment in which the teacher is an active facilitator and the 21st-century framework is paramount. (SP G1)

Performance Objective 2: Communicate transparently among teachers, students, parents, community members, administrators, and outside experts using various communication tools. (SP G1, SR 2)

Evaluation Data Sources: Artifacts of communications such as the e-newsletter, publications, public forums, etc.

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Continue the use of the e-newsletter, digital signage, and school messenger to keep members of the learning organization		Formative	
informed. Strategiels Franceted Describ/Large etc. Members of the learning argenization game in informed of surrent and future events.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Members of the learning organization remain informed of current and future events. Communication concerns evident in surveys are mitigated.	80%	90%	100%
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent Asst. Superintendent for Curriculum & Instruction			
Director of Technology			
Principals			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Continue to use town hall meetings and messages from the superintendent to communicate district updates.	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Continue to use town hall meetings and messages from the superintendent to communicate district updates. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent surveys and other feedback indicate increased awareness of district happenings	For Jan		ews Sept
Strategy 2: Continue to use town hall meetings and messages from the superintendent to communicate district updates.		Formative	

Goal 2: We will incorporate a variety of authentic assessments that are meaningful for the student while demonstrating mastery. (SP G2)

Performance Objective 1: Leverage assessment resources and LMS features to ensure appropriate measurement of student learning.(SP G2, SR 1 & 2)

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation (PD plans, calendars, attendance reports) related to collaboration, design, and construction of authentic assessments using the LMS.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Provide professional development opportunities to support the use of authentic assessments embedded within the LMS and to		Formative	
evaluate assessment alignment with the taught curriculum.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved alignment and varied methods for evaluating student learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Asst. Superintendent for Curriculum & Instruction Director of Technology Principals	20%	75%	100%
No Progress	nue		

Performance Objective 1: The district will increase scores/grades for all state accountability domains that are below a B. (SP G3 SR 2)

Evaluation Data Sources: Accountability ratings

Strategy 1 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Use interim performance data to inform district-wide instructional focus to ensure we meet or exceed grade level and mastery		Formative	
performance bands for all state accountability domains.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Information gained from interim performance assessments and college readiness assessments will be used to support core curriculum and intervention strategies.	50%	75%	10000
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Superintendent for Curr. & Inst. Principals	50%	75%	100%
Facilitators			
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Use summative performance data to assess the effectiveness of instructional programs. (Effective Teaching definition	For	mative Revi Formative	ews
Strategy 2: Use summative performance data to assess the effectiveness of instructional programs. (Effective Teaching definition includes being proficient and above in all dimensions and closing the achievement gap among sub-populations).	For Jan		ews Sept
Strategy 2: Use summative performance data to assess the effectiveness of instructional programs. (Effective Teaching definition		Formative	Γ

Performance Objective 2: REVISED: We will monitor the performance and progress of students with special needs, English Language Learners (ELL), and the two lowest-performing sub-populations (African American and Hispanic - as identified by the state) using benchmarks and teacher-made assessments. (SP G3 SR 3)

Evaluation Data Sources: Quarterly data analysis

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Use interim performance data to inform district-wide instructional strategies to support special populations' performance and			
progress.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Program revisions will occur to support student needs throughout the year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Asst. Superintendent for Curriculum & Instruction Principals Comprehensive Support Strategy	50%	90%	90%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Analyze student benchmark performance to monitor progress and existing achievement gaps between sub-populations (White		Formative	
and Hispanic and African American and White and economically and non-economically disadvantaged).	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased awareness by instructional staff of supports required for student success, how to implement them, and monitor progress.	F00/	2004	0004
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Asst. Superintendent for Curriculum & Instruction Principals	50%	90%	90%
Comprehensive Support Strategy			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify X Discontinue/	nue		

Performance Objective 3: The proportion of 2019 graduates who, by examination, earn a "college credit qualifying" score and/or receive an industry certification will increase resulting in an overall domain score of an A. (SP G3 SR 4)

Evaluation Data Sources: List of students who meet one or more of the CCMR eligibility criteria.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Maintain and/or utilize TEA's published list of seniors and high school cohorts with documentation of CCMR criteria each		Formative	
student is meeting.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of students meeting CCMR criteria. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: High School Counselor Secondary Principal	80%	100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	aue		

Performance Objective 4: Overall District STAAR performance will sustain or increase for approaches, meets, and masters for all student groups to support an increase in the overall domain score. (SP G3 SR 5)

Evaluation Data Sources: Interim benchmarks and STAAR.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		
Strategy 1: Use Interim benchmarks and STAAR to measure student performance on these bands.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student performance.	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	0%	90%	90%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Performance Objective 5: NEW: Overall District STAAR performance will sustain or increase student progress measures to decrease equity gaps between sub-populations (African American and White, Hispanic and White, and Economically disadvantaged and non-economically disadvantaged) to less than 10%. (SP G3 SR 6)

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Increase in the number of students who make progress and decrease in identified equity gaps (African American and White, Hispanic and White, and Economically disadvantaged and non-economically disadvantaged) to less than 10%.

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Disaggregate benchmark and interim assessment data to determine student progress indicators as on, below, or beyond		Formative	
targeted progress.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student annual progress and diminished progress gaps between subpopulations.	FOOV	700/	700/
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Coaches Administrators	50%	70%	70%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Goal 4: We will only foster a climate that adds value. (SP G4)

Performance Objective 1: Implement a supportive system that encourages teacher leadership and innovative teaching and learning. (SP G4, SR 2)

Evaluation Data Sources: Number of innovative grants awarded and the percentage of teachers at or above proficient in domain four of T-TESS, and funds utilized to support teacher completion of leadership tasks.

Strategy 1 Details		Formative Reviews	
Strategy 1: Continue available stipends for teacher leadership activities and the development of asynchronous teaching videos.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Promote teacher engagement in leadership opportunities and increase resource bank of	Jan	May	Sept
teaching videos. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Cabinet Instructional Coaches.	50%	80%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Provide guidelines and opportunities to receive district-level innovation grants.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will create new experiences for students and each other. Increased opportunities	Jan	May	Sept
for student engagement in innovative practices. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Cabinet	50%	80%	80%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	iue	•	•

Goal 4: We will only foster a climate that adds value. (SP G4)

Performance Objective 2: Provide quarterly morale boosting activities (SP G4, SR 3)

Evaluation Data Sources: Calendar of morale boosting activities and staff feedback.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Evaluate strengths and weaknesses from climate surveys and mitigate negative trends.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Climate survey to inform learning organization activities. Improved survey results.	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent Cabinet	75%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Implement morale-boosting activities quarterly and identify ways to mitigate social-emotional deficits related to the		Formative	
pandemic.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Activities implemented to increase staff sense of belonging. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Cabinet	75%	100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Goal 5: We will provide a clear and attainable framework of learning that is engaging, flexible and relevant that utilizes a full range of student capabilities. (SP G5)

Performance Objective 1: Maintain a schedule that allows for students to take advantage of expanded course offerings. (SP G5, SR 2)

Evaluation Data Sources: Evaluate scheduling outcomes and levels of student engagement in course offerings.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Support campus-based course offerings to increase student accessibility to advanced and innovative courses.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased opportunities for advanced academic learning.	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors Secondary Principal Asst. Superintendent for C & I		100%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Goal 5: We will provide a clear and attainable framework of learning that is engaging, flexible and relevant that utilizes a full range of student capabilities. (SP G5)

Performance Objective 2: Promote engaging, relevant, and meaningful learning opportunities. (SP G5, SR 3)

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher performance on dimensions 2.4 and Domain 3 of T-TESS. Student endorsements and certifications.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 1: Utilize available technological resources such as social media and the learning management system to share accolades related		Formative	
to engaging teaching and learning.	Jan	May	Sept
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers engage more deeply with each other to try and celebrate new instructional strategies. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional Staff Technology Department 	50%	90%	90%
Funding Sources: Continued Training - DOD Supp (195)			
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify Discontinue/Modify	nue		-

Goal 5: We will provide a clear and attainable framework of learning that is engaging, flexible and relevant that utilizes a full range of student capabilities. (SP G5)

Performance Objective 3: Increase the percentage of students participating in at least one extracurricular activity by increasing the number of available opportunities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Baseline participation date to increase by 10%. Student participation logs.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
ategy 1: Increase the number of student participants in each extra-curricular activity either face to face or virtual.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the number of student participants. Increased reports of a sense of belonging.	Jan May S		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Principals	75%	85%	100%
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue/	nue		

Goal 6: We will grow interconnected partnerships within the extended community. (SP G6)

Performance Objective 1: Maintain partnerships to offer dual credit to students, teacher certification opportunities, and certification opportunities for students. (SP G6, SR 1)

Evaluation Data Sources: Partnerships with higher education institutions were established. Industry certification and aligned with CTE courses.

Summative Evaluation: Some progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Continue to explore opportunities for Work-Based Learning.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Plan developed as appropriate.	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Superintendent, Asst. Superintendent for C & I, Campus Principal TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college		25%	25%
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Goal 6: We will grow interconnected partnerships within the extended community. (SP G6)

Performance Objective 2: Enhance community awareness across the district by informing families about educational and cultural events and opportunities in the extended area. (SP G6, SR 3)

Evaluation Data Sources: Information for families is evident on the district website.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	lews	
Strategy 1: Inform families of educational opportunities through the e-newsletter and district website.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: eNewsletter	Jan	May	Sept	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals		100%	100%	
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews	
Strategy 2: Revise and implement parent engagement strategies using special program's toolkits.		Formative		
	Jan	May	Sept	
		25%	50%	
No Progress Continue/Modify X Discontinue/Modify	ue			

Goal 7: We will capitalize on the innate digital abilities of our students. (SP G7)

Performance Objective 1: Develop and maintain an environment where students use on-demand digital resources. (SP G7, SR 2)

Evaluation Data Sources: Statistical analysis of utilization reports.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Engage students in developing videos and instructional tools to support academic growth.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased bank of instructional supports for students and their peers.	Jan May Se		Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Instructional technologists	75%	85%	100%
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Goal 7: We will capitalize on the innate digital abilities of our students. (SP G7)

Performance Objective 2: Maintain and enhance a program to educate parents on relevant technology. (SP G7, SR 3)

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation of offerings related to technology use.

Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Provide Let's Talk Events to share information.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent engagement	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Technology Department and Instructional Technologist		75%	75%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Increase opportunities for parents to learn how to utilize technologies in the remote teaching environment.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student engagement.	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Technology Department and Instructional Technologist.	25%	50%	50%
No Progress Continue/Modify Discontinue/Modify	nue		

Goal 7: We will capitalize on the innate digital abilities of our students. (SP G7)

Performance Objective 3: Provide teachers appropriate, relevant, and on-demand training about technological resources. (SP G7, SR 4)

Evaluation Data Sources: Documentation (PD plan, calendar, and attendance reports) of offerings related to training.

Strategy 1 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 1: Redesign plan to build teacher expertise in the use of the Learning Management System to increase student engagement and		Formative	
progress.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent use and communication. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of technology.		75%	100%
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discontinue	nue		

Goal 8: We will comply with state and federal requirements.

Performance Objective 1: We will meet or exceed all compliance standards.

Evaluation Data Sources: The district will have met 100% of compliance standards.

Strategy 1 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 1: Provide intervention services for all student groups through RtI. (At-Risk, Title I, Dyslexia, SSI)	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: RtI scheduled within master schedule	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	75%	100%	100%
Strategy 2 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 2: Engage teachers and staff in trauma-informed care training to support mental health needs.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Professional Development Plan.	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Social-Emotional Support Team Asst. Superintendent		75%	75%
Strategy 3 Details	Formative Reviews		ews
Strategy 3: Provide drug and violence prevention training to students and provide information on reporting procedures.	Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Training and procedures implemented	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	10%	50%	100%
Strategy 4 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 4: Monitor and intervene with students in at-risk situations including homelessness.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Support services provided as appropriate	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors, Homeless Liaison		100%	100%

Strategy 5 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 5: Monitor and evaluate student attendance and progress quarterly.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Attendance reports	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals	75%	100%	100%
Strategy 6 Details	For	Formative Reviews	
Strategy 6: Monitor dropout reports and intervene with students to provide methods for helping students graduate.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Intervention provided for at-risk students	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselors	75%	100%	100%
Strategy 7 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 7: Implement and inform students and staff of procedures for the prevention, identification, and response to harassment,		Formative	
aggression, bullying, abuse, and dating violence.	Jan	May	Sept
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Procedures implemented Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Counselors	50%	100%	100%
Strategy 8 Details	For	mative Revi	iews
Strategy 8: Provide written guidance to students and parents on career education offerings.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Course Guide	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: High School Principal, Counselor	50%	100%	100%
Strategy 9 Details	For	mative Revi	ews
Strategy 9: Provide training to staff when appropriate on behavior support strategies for students with disabilities.		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Training provided as appropriate	Jan	May	Sept
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Special Education Coordinator	50%	100%	100%
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify X Discont	inue		<u> </u>

State Compensatory

Budget for Stacey Junior/Senior High

Account Code	Account Title	<u>Budget</u>
6100 Payroll Costs		
199-11-6118-00-001-1-24-0-00	6118 Extra Duty Stipend - Locally Defined	\$435.00
199-11-6119-00-001-1-24-0-00	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$60,791.90
199-11-6141-00-001-1-24-0-00	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$4,454.14
199-61-6118-00-001-1-24-0-00	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$30.24
199-11-6142-00-001-1-24-0-00	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$6,427.26
199-11-6143-00-001-1-24-0-00	6143 Workers' Compensation	\$297.45
199-61-6118-00-001-1-24-0-00	6143 Workers' Compensation	\$2.23
199-11-6144-00-001-1-24-0-00	6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment	\$5,776.73
199-11-6144-61-001-1-24-0-00	6144 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care - On Behalf Payment	\$38.11
199-11-6118-61-001-1-24-0-00	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$10.24
199-11-6146-00-001-1-24-0-00	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$1,515.04
	6100 Subtotal:	\$79,778.34
6300 Supplies and Services		
199-11-6399-00-001-1-24-0-00	6399 General Supplies	\$292.87
	6300 Subtotal:	\$292.87
6400 Other Operating Costs		
199-11-6399-00-001-1-24-0-00	6499 Miscellaneous Operating Costs	\$77.00
	6400 Subtotal:	\$77.00

Personnel for Stacey Junior/Senior High

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
M. Sims	Reading Improvement Teacher	State Compensatory Education	.50
P. Perez	Math Improvement Teacher	State Compensatory Education	.50

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Boyd, S.	Teacher	Title I	1.

Campus Funding Summary

DOD Supp (195)						
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount	
5	2	1	Continued Training		\$0.00	
Sub-Total				\$0.00		
Grand Total				\$0.00		

Addendums